

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,808	12/30/2003	Herve Mongin	1013-032	1846
22429	7590 12/12/2005		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			NGO, HUNG V	
1700 DIAGO SUITE 300	ONAL ROAD /310		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/747,808	MONGIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hung V. Ngo	2831		

		Hung V. Ngo	2831	
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
	Y FILED <u>30 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. ⊠ The i this a place a Re	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🔲 1	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this A need to reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learning Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
have been f under 37 Cl set forth in (may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ori r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. ⊠ The (a) [(b) ∑	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
	They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	., .		the issues for
(-)	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 slicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Nev	vly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	•	_
how The Clair Clair Clair	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: 11-13 and 15. m(s) objected to: 6-10 and 14. m(s) rejected: 1-5 and 16-20. m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 The beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente shov	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar a affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	—: te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ter:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		1
13. <u> </u>		•	H~~V	NGY
			HUNGV	NGO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the change in claims 1, 2, 14, 16-20 have not previously considered.